



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

time retain the benefit of his lien, if the parties plainly so agree. The transaction is not illegal, and in this case the rights of third persons do not intervene. In the case in judgment the commissioner who examined the witnesses and the trial court found that such an agreement had been proved, and the evidence in the cause does not warrant this court in reversing that finding.

3. ASSIGNMENT—*Duty of assignee—Diligence—Loss—Collaterals.* It is the duty of the assignee of a bond to use due diligence to make the money out of those primarily bound for its payment, and if it is secured by a specific lien, to use like diligence to enforce such lien before he can have recourse on the assignor. If he fails to pursue this course it is incumbent upon him to show clearly that no loss or damage has resulted from his lack of diligence. If a collateral be lost through the supineness of the creditor, he must account for the loss to his own debtor.

MITCHELL AND OTHERS V. WITT, JUDGE.—Decided at Wytheville, July 5, 1900.—*Cardwell, J. Absent, Riely, J.*

1. CONSTRUCTION OF STATUTES—*In pari materia.* Statutes which are not inconsistent with one another and which relate to the same subject matter are *in pari materia* and should be construed together and effect given to them all, although they contain no reference to one another and were passed at different times.

2. MANDAMUS—*When refused.* The writ of mandamus will not be awarded where to do so would be fruitless and unavailing. If the respondent cannot perform the act required, or the court is unable to compel its performance, the writ will be denied.

3. ELECTIONS—*City council—Contest—Hustings court—Sections 160 and 1030 of Code—Officers.* The council of the city of Richmond, under the authority of sec. 1030 of the Code is the judge of the election, qualification and returns of its members, and also has the power to order elections and to fill all vacancies in that body. The Hustings Court of said city has no jurisdiction to decide a contest over an election of a member of that body, even though the contestant alleges that he cannot obtain justice before it. Members of the city council are in a certain sense officers, but the city officers referred to in sec. 160 of the Code are such as correspond to the officers of the respective counties and districts of the State, such as sheriffs, treasurers, clerks and attorneys for the commonwealth.

WILLARD V. WILLARD.—Decided at Wytheville, July 5, 1900.—*Cardwell, J. Absent, Riely, J.*

1. PROCESS—*Order of Publication—Right to show cause—Code, sec. 3233.* Under the provisions of section 3233 of the Code a non-resident defendant who has not been served with process nor appeared before a decree was rendered against him, but who petitioned to have the cause reheard within one year thereafter, has the right to file such petition and to plead or answer, in order to have any injustice done him in the proceedings corrected.

2. DIVORCE SUIT.—*Continuance—Amendments—Case in judgment—Cross charges.* In suits for divorce, courts are liberal in allowing continuances and suspensions of hearing to supply defects in the evidence or pleadings. In the case in judgment